

Some Types of Nano Penta Regular Spaces

Anaam M. Hilal¹ and Rana B. Yaseen²

¹Department of Mathematics, College of Education for WOMEN, Tikrit University, Tikrit, IRAQ.

²Department of Mathematics, College of Education for WOMEN, Tikrit University, Tikrit, IRAQ.

²Corresponding Author: zain2016@tu.edu.iq



www.jrasb.com || Vol. 3 No. 1 (2024): February Issue

Received: 25-01-2024

Revised: 26-01-2024

Accepted: 27-01-2024

ABSTRACT

The goal of our study is to obtain a new space, which we called the Nano Penta regular space, by studying three cases independent of each other in terms of the number of equivalence relationships for the universe set and its subset. Its properties and its relationship to some Nano Penta separation axioms were discussed and the strongly $NpNp$ -Regular space and its relationship to the Nano Penta regular space was studied.

Keywords- Nano Penta topological spaces, Nano Penta regular space, Nano Penta separation axioms, Strongly Nano Penta Regular space.

I. INTRODUCTION

In 2013, the notion of Nano-topological space that regarding xx subset of universe GG , which is described as an upper and lower approximation of xx , this subject introduced by Thivagar M.L. [3].

In 2014, Definition of Nano-Regular space ($N_{\mathcal{R}N_{\mathcal{R}}}$) space is presented by Bhuvaneshwari- M [1]. Nasir [2] in 2020 discussed in his study Nano- Regular space and took the relationship with the separation axioms and also mentioned the definition of Nano subspace.

The Nano Penta topological spaces ($N_{\mathcal{R}p}N_{\mathcal{R}p}$) were defined by researchers Rana and El.te. It was presented in 2021 and published in 2023 at the Karbala Conference and defined Np -Homeomorphism, if the mapping are bijective, $NpContNpCont$ and $NpNp$ -open[5]. Also she presented definitions of some types of Np -separation axioms through Np -open sets [4].

This paper is devoted to focus on type of $N_{\mathcal{R}p}N_{\mathcal{R}p}$ which we named Np -regular and their basic properties are discussed with the suitable theorem and examples and also introduced strongly Np - regular space

with some properties. formatted further at JRASB. Define all symbols used in the abstract.

II. PRELIMINARIES

We will provide some definitions necessary for our study.

Definition (2.1) [5] Suppose that $GG \neq \emptyset$, where G is universe finite set with $\mathcal{R}_1(JX), \mathcal{R}_2(JX), \mathcal{R}_3(JX), \mathcal{R}_4(JX), \mathcal{R}_5(JX)$ and $\mathcal{R}_5(JX)$ are five disjoint Nano topologies on GG with regarding $JXJX$ then ($G, \mathcal{R}_p(JX)G, \mathcal{R}_p(JX)$) is $NpNp$ -topological space ($N_{\mathcal{R}p}N_{\mathcal{R}p}$), where $p=1,2,3,4,5$.

Definition (2.2) [5] A subset \tilde{A} of space ($G, \mathcal{R}_p(JX)G, \mathcal{R}_p(JX)$) if $\tilde{A} = \hat{H} \cup \check{K} \cup \acute{F} \cup \grave{V} \cup \check{J}$ where \hat{H} belong to $\mathcal{R}_1(JX)$, \check{K} belong to $\mathcal{R}_2(JX)$, \acute{F} belong to $\mathcal{R}_3(JX)$, \grave{V} belong to $\mathcal{R}_4(JX)$

$\mathfrak{F}_{R4}(X)$ and \mathfrak{J} belong to $\mathfrak{F}_{R5}(X)\mathfrak{F}_{R5}(X)$ then $\tilde{A}\tilde{A}$ is called Nano Penta-open set ($NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$). Where the union of the five Nano topologies doesn't necessarily have to be on the same topological space so the Nano topological space that fulfils all the intersections and unions of the Np -open sets is called supremum.

Definitions (2.3) [5]

1.If $\tilde{A}\tilde{A}$ is $NpNp$ -open subset of space $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ ($\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)$)

,then the complement of $\tilde{A}\tilde{A}$ is called to Nano Penta-closed set ($NpNp-\hat{C}$) set.

2. The space $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ is called $NpNp$ -extremely disconnected, if the $NpNp$ -closure of every $NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$ is $NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$ set in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$.

Theorem (2.4)[5] Every Nano open set ($N-\hat{O}$) $N-\hat{O}$ set in which of the spaces $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_i}(X))$ ($\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_i}(X)$) is $NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$ set in $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ ($\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)$), where $i=1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Remarks (2.5)

1.The set $B = \{ \mathcal{G}, U_{R_p}(X) \mathcal{G}, U_{R_p}(X), B_{R_p}(X) \}$ is the basis for $N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}$ [5].

2.Each relative $N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}$ is relative $N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}$ [5].

3.The $NpNp$ -Ti-space have topological and hereditary property, where $i=0, 1, 2$ [4].

Definition (2.6) [5] Suppose that $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ ($\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)$) and $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(Y))$ ($\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(Y)$) are $N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)N\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)$ with regarding to X, X and Y . A map $Z: (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(Y))(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(Y))$ is $NpNp$ -continuous ($NpCont$) $NpCont$, if $Z^{-1}Z^{-1}(\mathfrak{J})$ of every $NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$ set \mathfrak{J} in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}$ is $NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$ set in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$.

Definitions (2.7) [4] A space $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ ($\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)$) is

1. Nano Penta T_0 -space ($NpNp$ - T_0 -space) regarding to distinct points $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b} \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$. $\exists NpNp$ -open set including one of them but not the other.

2. Nano Penta T_1 -space ($NpNp$ - T_1 -space) regarding to different points $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ belong to $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$, $\exists \exists$ two $NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$ sets with one of them but not the other.

3. Nano Penta T_2 -space ($NpNp$ - T_2 -space) regarding to different points $\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}, \tilde{a}, \tilde{b}$ belong to $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$, $\exists \exists$ two distinct

$NpNp-\hat{O}\hat{O}$ sets $\tilde{V}, \mathfrak{J} \ni \tilde{a} \in \tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{J}$ $\tilde{a} \in \tilde{V}$ and $\tilde{b} \in \mathfrak{J}$.

Definition (2.8) [4]: If $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ is $NpNp$ -Regular with $NpNp$ - T_1 -space then $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$ is $NpNp$ - T_3 -space.

III. ON NANO PENTA REGULAR SPACES

Now we introduce new definition of Nano Penta regular through the lower, upper approximation and the boundary region of a universe set using an equivalence relation on it.

Definition (3.1) The space $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ ($\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X)$) is said to be Nano Penta Regular space ($Np-\mathfrak{R}$) $Np-\mathfrak{R}$ space if for each $Np-\hat{C}$ set $Np-\hat{C}$ set \hat{F} and a point $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}$ not belong to \hat{F} , $\exists \hat{F}, \exists$ disjoint two $Np-\hat{O}$ set $Np-\hat{O}$ set $\hat{K}\hat{K}$ and $\hat{H}\hat{H}$ in \mathcal{G} $\mathcal{G} \ni \tilde{u}\tilde{u}$ belong to $\hat{H}\hat{H}$ and $\hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}\hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$.

Example (3. 2) Using five equivalence classes on $\mathcal{G} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ $\mathcal{G} = \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ on an universe set and its with five subsets .let

$\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}1 = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$ $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}1 = \{\{1\}, \{2, 3, 4\}\}$,
 $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}2 = \{\{3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}$ $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}2 = \{\{3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}\}$
 $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}3 = \{\{2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}\}$
 $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}3 = \{\{2\}, \{4\}, \{1, 3\}\}$,
 $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}4 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 4\}\}$
 $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}4 = \{\{2\}, \{3\}, \{1, 4\}\}$,
 $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}5 = \{\{4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$ $\mathcal{G}/\hat{R}5 = \{\{4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}\}$,

we get
 $\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X) = \{\mathcal{G}, \emptyset, \{1\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{2, 4\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{1, 4\}, \{4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{3, 4\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}\}$, so
 $(\mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))^c = \{\mathcal{G}, \emptyset, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{1\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{3\}, \{1, 3\}, \{2, 4\}, \{1, 4\}, \{2, 3\}, \{4\}\{4\}, \{1, 2, 3\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\{1, 2, 3\}, \{2\}, \{1, 2\}, \{1, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4\}\}$.

Hence $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_p}(X))$ is $Np-\mathfrak{R}$ $Np-\mathfrak{R}$ space.

Theorem (3.3) Each $N-\mathfrak{R}$ $N-\mathfrak{R}$ space is $Np-\mathfrak{R}$ $Np-\mathfrak{R}$ space

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_i}(X))(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{R_i}(X))$ be $N-\mathfrak{R}$ $N-\mathfrak{R}$ space, where $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Suppose that $\hat{F}\hat{F}$ is Nano closed set and a point $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}$ not

belong to \hat{F} in G \hat{F} in G . Since G G be $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ space, then \exists distinct two $N_{-}\hat{O}$ $N_{-}\hat{O}$ sets \hat{K}, \hat{H} in G \hat{K}, \hat{H} in G $\exists \hat{u} \in \hat{H} \wedge \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$ $\exists \hat{u} \in \hat{H} \wedge \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$ by using theorem (2.4) become \hat{H}, \hat{K} \hat{H}, \hat{K} are disjoint two $Np_{-}\hat{O}$ set $Np_{-}\hat{O}$ set s $\exists \hat{u} \in \hat{H} \wedge \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$. Therefore $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ is $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space. \hat{K}, \hat{H} in G \hat{K}, \hat{H} in G $\exists \hat{u} \in \hat{H} \wedge \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$ $\exists \hat{u} \in \hat{H} \wedge \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$ by using theorem (2.4) become \hat{H}, \hat{K} \hat{H}, \hat{K} are disjoint two $Np_{-}\hat{O}$ set $Np_{-}\hat{O}$ set s $\exists \hat{u} \in \hat{H} \wedge \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$. Therefore $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ is $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space.

Remark (3.4): The converse of theorem (3.3) is not true. As in the example below.

Example (3.5) Let $G = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $JX \subseteq G$ Let $G = \{1,2,3,4\}$, $JX \subseteq G$ with five equivalence classes

- $G/\hat{R}1 = \{\{1\}, \{2,4\}, \{3\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}1 = \{\{1\}, \{2,4\}, \{3\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}2 = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}2 = \{\{1\}, \{2,3\}, \{4\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}3 = \{\{1,3,4\}, \{2\}\}$ $G/\hat{R}3 = \{\{1,3,4\}, \{2\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}4 = \{\{1,2,3\}, \{4\}\}$ $G/\hat{R}4 = \{\{1,2,3\}, \{4\}\}$

$G/\hat{R}5 = \{\{1\}, \{4\}, \{2,3\}\}$. Then $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX)) = \{G, \varphi, \{1,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{1\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{2,3\}, \{2\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{4\}, \{1,4\}, \{G, \varphi, \{1,3\}, \{2,4\}, \{1\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{2,3\}, \{2\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{4\}, \{1,4\}, \{3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{2,3,4\}, \{1,2\}\}$ and

$(\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c = \{G, \varphi, \{2,4\}, \{1,3\}, \{2,3,4\}, \{4\}, \{1,3,4\}, \{2\}, \{1,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{3\}, \{1\}, \{3,4\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2\}\}$ $\{2\}, \{1,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{3\}, \{1\}, \{3,4\}, \{2,3\}, \{1,2\}\}$

Hence $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ is $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space. But, \exists at least one of five $\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}}(JX)$ $\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}}(JX)$ is not $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ space, it is clear that $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}2}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}2}(JX))$ is not $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ space.

Theorem (3.6): A space $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ is $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space if only $U_{\hat{R}p}(JX)U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = G$, $L_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = \varphi$ and $U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq L_{\hat{R}p}(JX)U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq L_{\hat{R}p}(JX)$.

Proof. If $U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = GU_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = G$ and $L_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq \varphi$ $L_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq \varphi$, when $\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = \{G, \varphi, L_{\hat{R}p}(JX), B_{\hat{R}p}(JX)\}$,

$\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = \{G, \varphi, L_{\hat{R}p}(JX), B_{\hat{R}p}(JX)\}$, so $G, \varphi, (L_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c, G, \varphi, (L_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c, (B_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c, (B_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c$ are $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ sets $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ sets in $\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX)$ $\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX)$ which $B_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = (L_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c B_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = (L_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c$ and $L_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = (B_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c$ and GG is extremely disconnected space too, therefore G is $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space.

Proposition (3.7) A space $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ is $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ $N_{-}\mathfrak{R}$ if $U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq G$ $U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq G$ and $L_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq \varphi$ $L_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq \varphi$ then $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX))$ is $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space.

Proof. When $\mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \mathfrak{F}_{\hat{R}p}(JX) = \{G, \varphi, L_{\hat{R}p}(JX), L_{\hat{R}p}(JX), U_{\hat{R}p}(JX)U_{\hat{R}p}(JX), B_{\hat{R}p}(JX), B_{\hat{R}p}(JX)\}$, if $U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq G$ $U_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq G$ and $L_{\hat{R}p}(JX) \neq \varphi$, then $G, \varphi, (L_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c, (U_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c, G, \varphi, (L_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c, (U_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c$ and $(B_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c, (B_{\hat{R}p}(JX))^c$ are $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set in GG . So all these $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set s contained only in G . If taking any point \hat{u} out of any $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set. Then only $Np_{-}\hat{O}$ set $Np_{-}\hat{O}$ set which contain the $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set $Np_{-}\hat{C}$ set is GG and $\hat{u} \in G$ $\hat{u} \in G$, hence GG is $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space.

Example (3.8) Using five equivalence classes on $G = \{a, l, k, s\}$ $G = \{a, l, k, s\}$ on an universe set and its with five subsets. Let

- $G/\hat{R}1 = \{\{a\}, \{l, s\}, \{k\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}1 = \{\{a\}, \{l, s\}, \{k\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}2 = \{\{a\}, \{l, k\}, \{s\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}2 = \{\{a\}, \{l, k\}, \{s\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}3 = \{\{a, k, s\}, \{l\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}3 = \{\{a, k, s\}, \{l\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}4 = \{\{a, l, k\}, \{s\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}4 = \{\{a, l, k\}, \{s\}\}$
- $G/\hat{R}5 = \{\{a, a\}, \{s, s\}, \{l, l, k, k\}\}$, we get

$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = \{G, \varphi, \{a, \ell\}, \{k\}, \{S\}, \{a, \ell, k\}, \{k, S\}, \{a, \ell, S\}\},$
 $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}^c(JX) = \{G, \varphi, \{k, S\}, \{a, \ell, k\}, \{S\}, \{a, \ell\}, \{k\}, \{a, \ell, S\}\}.$ Hence $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX))$ is $Np - \mathfrak{RN}p - \mathfrak{R}$ space. where $U_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq G, U_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq G, L_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq \varphi, L_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq \varphi$ and $U_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = L_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX)$.

Remark (3.9) If $L_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq \varphi, L_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq \varphi, U_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq G, U_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) \neq G$ and $U_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = L_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = JX, U_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = L_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = JX$, then the $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX))$ can be $Np - \mathfrak{R}$ space. As given in the following examples.

Examples (3.10)

1. Let $G/\mathcal{R} = \{\{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$
 $G/\mathcal{R} = \{\{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$ be equivalence class defined on $G = \{1,2,3,4\}$ universe sets and five subsets of JX . then $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = \{G, \varphi, \{1,2\}, \{3\}, \{4\}\}$

$\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}^c(JX) = \{G, \varphi, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{4\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{3\}\}$

$(\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX))^c = \{G, \varphi, \{3,4\}, \{1,2,3\}, \{4\}, \{1,2\}, \{1,2,4\}, \{3\}\}$

Hence $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX))$ is $Np - \mathfrak{RN}p - \mathfrak{R}$ space. When no one of the five $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX)$ have $N - \mathfrak{RN} - \mathfrak{R}$ space.

2. Let $G = \{a, b, c, d\}$ with $G/\mathcal{R}_1 = \{\{a, b\}, \{c, d\}\}, G/\mathcal{R}_2 = \{\{a\}, \{b\}, \{c\}, \{d\}\}, G/\mathcal{R}_3 = \{\{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}\}, G/\mathcal{R}_4 = \{\{a\}, \{b, c, d\}\}, G/\mathcal{R}_5 = \{\{b, d\}, \{a, c\}\}$, hence $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX) = \{G, \varphi, \{a, b\}, \{a, b, c\}, \{a, d\}, \{b, c, d\}, \{a, c\}, \{a, b, d\}, \{a\}, \{b\}, \{b, c\}, \{d\}, \{a, c, d\}, \{c\}\}.$ We get $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX))$ is not $Np - \mathfrak{RN}p - \mathfrak{R}$ space. when no one of five $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX)$ have $N - \mathfrak{R}$ space.

Remarks (3.11): The space $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX))$ then :

First state: $Np - \mathfrak{RN}p - \mathfrak{R}$ space only if the five $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX)$ are $N - \mathfrak{RN} - \mathfrak{R}$ space. As is the theorem(3.2)

Second state: $Np - \mathfrak{RN}p - \mathfrak{R}$ space only if at least one of five $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX)$ is $N - \mathfrak{RN} - \mathfrak{R}$ space. As is the example (3.5).

Third state: can be $Np - \mathfrak{RN}p - \mathfrak{R}$ space, if there's no one of the five $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX)$ is $N - \mathfrak{RN} - \mathfrak{R}$ space. As is the example (3.10).

Result : we note that three cases are independent of each other in terms of structural formation of $Np - \mathfrak{RN}p - \mathfrak{R}$ space.

Proposition (3.12) The following conditions in space $(G, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p}(JX))$ are equivalent:

1. G is $NpNp$ -Regular.
2. For each point \tilde{u} belong to G and each $NpNp - \hat{O}$ set $\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$ including \tilde{u} , $\exists \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2$ is $NpNp - \hat{O}$ set $\ni \tilde{u}$ belong to $\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \subseteq NpClNpCl(\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2) \subseteq \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$.
3. For each $NpNp - \hat{C}$ set \hat{F} the intersection of all the $NpNp$ -neighborhoods of \hat{F} is \hat{F} .
4. For each set \hat{F} and \hat{K} is $NpNp - \hat{O}$ set $\ni \hat{F} \hat{F} \cap \hat{K} \hat{K} \neq \varphi$, \exists a $NpNp - \hat{O}$ set \hat{V} such that $\hat{F} \cap \hat{V} \neq \varphi$ and $NpCl(NpCl(\hat{V})) \subseteq \hat{K}$.
5. for each $\hat{F} \neq \varphi$ and $NpNp - \hat{C}$ set $\hat{K} \ni \hat{F} \cap \hat{K} = \varphi$, \exists distinct $NpNp - \hat{O}$ sets $\hat{H} \hat{H}$ and $\hat{J} \ni \hat{F} \hat{F} \cap \hat{H} \hat{H} \neq \varphi$ and $\hat{K} \hat{K} \subseteq \hat{J} \hat{J}$.

Proof .

From 1 to 2: Suppose that $\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$ is $NpNp - \hat{O}$ set including \tilde{u} . Then $G - \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$ is $NpNp - \hat{C}$ set and $\tilde{u} \notin G - \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$. Since G is $NpNp$ -Regular space, $\exists \tilde{A}$ and $\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2$ are $NpNp - \hat{O}$ sets such that $G - \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1 \subseteq \tilde{A}$, $\tilde{u} \in \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2$ and $\tilde{A} \cap \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 = \varphi$, so $NpClNpCl(G - \tilde{A}) = G - \tilde{A}$ for $\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \subseteq G - \tilde{A}$ and $G - \tilde{A}$ is $NpNp - \hat{C}$ set. Therefore $NpCl(NpCl(\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2)) \subseteq \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$.

From 2 to 3 : Suppose that \hat{F} is a $NpNp - \hat{C}$ set and $\tilde{u} \notin \hat{F}$. Then , $G - \hat{F}$ is $NpNp - \hat{O}$ set and contains \tilde{u} . By part (2) then $\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2$ is $NpNp - \hat{O}$ set $\ni \tilde{u} \in \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \subseteq NpCl(\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2) \subseteq G - \hat{F}$ and $G - \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \supseteq G - NpCl(\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2) \supseteq \hat{F}$.

Consequently, $G - \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2$ is $NpNp$ -neighborhood of \hat{F} that \tilde{u} does not belong .So (3) comes true.

From 3 to 4 : Assume that $\hat{F} \cap \check{K} \neq \emptyset$ and \check{K} is $NpNp$ - \hat{O} set and $\tilde{u} \in \hat{F} \cap \check{K}$. Since $\tilde{u} \notin NpNp$ - \hat{C} set $G-\check{K}$, \exists a $NpNp$ -neighborhood of $G-\check{K}$, $\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1 \ni \tilde{u} \notin \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$. Suppose $G-\check{K} \subseteq \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \subseteq \hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$, where $\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2$ is $NpNp$ - \hat{O} set. Then $\tilde{V} = G-\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$ is $NpNp$ - \hat{O} set which includes \tilde{u} and $\hat{F} \cap \tilde{V} \neq \emptyset$. So, $G-\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \in NpNp$ - \hat{C} , $NpClNpCl$ (\tilde{V}) = $NpClNpCl$ $G-\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1 \subseteq G-\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \subseteq \check{K}$.

From 4 to 5 : If $\hat{F} \cap \check{K} = \emptyset$, when $\hat{F} \neq \emptyset$ and \check{K} is $NpNp$ - \hat{C} set, then $\hat{F} \cap (G-\check{K}) \neq \emptyset$ and $G-\check{K}$ is $NpNp$ - \hat{O} set. So that by part (5), \exists a $NpNp$ - \hat{O} set $\hat{H} \hat{H} \ni \hat{F} \cap \hat{H} \hat{H} \neq \emptyset$, $\hat{H} \hat{H} \subseteq NpCl(\hat{H}) NpCl(\hat{H}) \subseteq G-\check{K}$. put $\check{j} = G-NpCl(\hat{H})(\hat{H})$. Then $\check{K} \subseteq \check{j}$ and $\hat{H} \hat{H}$, \check{j} are $NpNp$ - \hat{O} sets, $\exists \check{j} = G-NpCl \hat{H} NpCl \hat{H} \subseteq G-\hat{H} \hat{H}$.

From 5 to 1 : Obvious.

Proposition (3.13) A space $(G, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(JX))$ is $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space, then for each $\tilde{u} \tilde{u} \in G$ and \hat{H}_1 is NpO set NpO set containing $\tilde{u} \tilde{u}$, $\exists \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2$ is NpO set NpO set containing $\tilde{u} \tilde{u} \ni \tilde{u} \in \hat{H}_2 \subseteq \hat{H}_1 \subseteq \hat{H}$.

Proof . Suppose that G is $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space, so $\tilde{u} \tilde{u} \in G$ and $\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$ is NpO set NpO set including $\tilde{u} \tilde{u}$, $G-\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$ is NpC set NpC set $\ni \tilde{u} \tilde{u} \in G-\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$, then \exists distinct two NpO sets $\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \check{K} \ni \tilde{u} \tilde{u} \in \hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \wedge G-\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1 \subseteq \check{K}$ then $G-\check{K} \subseteq G-\hat{H}_1 \hat{H}_1$, when $\hat{H}_2 \hat{H}_2 \cap \check{K} \cap \check{K} = \emptyset \rightarrow NpCl(\hat{H}_2) \cap \check{K} \rightarrow NpCl(\hat{H}_2) \cap \check{K} = \emptyset \rightarrow NpCl \emptyset \rightarrow NpCl(\hat{H} \hat{H}_2)$. Hence $\tilde{u} \tilde{u} \in \hat{H}_2 \subseteq \hat{H}_1 \subseteq \hat{H}$.

Theorem (3.14) A space $(G, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(JX))$ is $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} in the following conditions are equivalent.

- G is $Np - R Np - R$ space.
- Every NpO set NpO set $\hat{H} \hat{H}$ is a Union of Npr sets.
- Every NpC set NpC set $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}$ is a intersection of Npr sets.

Proof. From (1) to (2) : Let $\hat{H} \hat{H}$ be a NpO set, a point $\tilde{u} \in \hat{H}$, Then $\tilde{A} = G \setminus \hat{H}$

$\tilde{u} \in \hat{H}$, Then $\tilde{A} = G \setminus \hat{H}$ is NpC set NpC set by hypothesis, \exists two distinct NpO set W_1 and W_2 of G , such that $\tilde{u} \subseteq W_2 \wedge \tilde{A} \subseteq W_1 \tilde{u} \subseteq W_2 \wedge \tilde{A} \subseteq W_1$, if $\hat{H} = NpCl(W_2)$ then \hat{F} is NpC set NpC set $\hat{H} = NpCl(W_2)$ then \hat{F} is NpC set NpC set $\wedge \hat{F} \cap \tilde{A} \subseteq \hat{F} \cap W_1 \hat{F} \cap \tilde{A} \subseteq \hat{F} \cap W_1$. So, $\tilde{u} \in \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{H} \tilde{u} \in \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{H}$, thus $\hat{H} \hat{H}$ is union of Npr sets.

From (2) to (3): obvious

From (3) to (1): Let $\mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}$ be NpC set NpC set $\wedge \tilde{u} \notin \mathcal{B} \mathcal{B}$, $\exists \hat{F} \hat{F}$ is Npr set $\ni \tilde{A} \subseteq \hat{F} \ni \tilde{A} \subseteq \hat{F} \wedge \tilde{u} \notin \hat{F}$, if $\hat{H} = G \setminus \hat{F} \tilde{u} \notin \hat{F}$, if $\hat{H} = G \setminus \hat{F}$, then $\hat{H} \hat{H}$ is NpO set NpO set containing $\tilde{u} \tilde{u} \wedge \hat{H} \hat{H} \cap \tilde{A} = \emptyset$, then $(G, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(JX))$ is $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space.

By adding some conditions to the function we get the $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space that can be moved and raised.

Theorem (3.15) Let $(G, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(JX))$ be $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space, a surjective $Y: (G, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(JX)) \rightarrow (M, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(Y))$ is $NpCont$ and $NpNp$ -open function, then $(M, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(Y))$ is $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space.

Proof. Let $\hat{F} \hat{F}$ be any NpC subset of M and a point $\tilde{u} \in M$ with $\tilde{u} \in \hat{F}$, $\tilde{u} \in \hat{F}$, then $Y(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{v} \tilde{v}$ when $\tilde{v} \in G \tilde{v} \in G \tilde{v} \tilde{v} = Y Y^{-1}(\tilde{u})$ (because $Y Y$ is surjective). By hypothesis, $\exists \hat{H}, \check{K} \hat{H}, \check{K}$ are NpO sets $\ni \tilde{v} \in \hat{H}$ and $Y^{-1}(\hat{F}) \subseteq \check{K} \ni \tilde{v} \in \hat{H}$ and $Y^{-1}(\hat{F}) \subseteq \check{K}$, when $Y Y$ are $Np - Np$ -open surjective. We get $\tilde{u} \in Y(\hat{H}) \tilde{u} \in Y(\hat{H})$

and $\hat{F} \subseteq Y(\check{K}) \hat{F} \subseteq Y(\check{K})$ so, $Y(\hat{H}) \cap Y(\check{K}) = Y(\hat{H} \cap \check{K}) = Y(\emptyset)$ $Y(\hat{H}) \cap Y(\check{K}) = Y(\hat{H} \cap \check{K}) = Y(\emptyset) = \emptyset$. Hence $(M, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(Y))$ is $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space.

Theorem(3.16) Let $(M, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(Y))$ be $NpNp$ - \mathcal{R} space, if injective $Y: (G, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(JX)) \rightarrow (M, \mathcal{F}_{Rp}(Y))$

$Y: (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (Y))$
 $Y: (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (Y))$ is $Np\ Cont$
 $Np\ Cont$, $NpNp$ - closed mapping then
 $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX)) (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX))$ is Np_Np_Np space.

Proof. A point $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}$ is not belong $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$ is Np_Np_Np subset of $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$, since YY is injective. Then $YY (\mathcal{F})$ is Np Np - \hat{C} set in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M} \ni YY (\tilde{u}) \notin YY (\mathcal{F})$ so, \exists two distinct Np_O sets \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} in $\mathcal{M} Np_O$ sets \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} in $\mathcal{M} \ni Y(\tilde{u}) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $Y(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$
 $Y(\tilde{u}) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $Y(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$ (because $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}$ is Np_Np Np_Np space). Therefore, $YY^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \cap YY^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$
 $\tilde{u} \in Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \wedge \mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$
 $\tilde{u} \in Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \wedge \mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) \wedge$
 $Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \cap Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$
 $Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \cap Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$. Hence \mathcal{G} is Np_Np Np_Np space.

Proposition (3.17) A space $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (JX))$ is N_N_N space, if a surjective $Y: Y: (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (JX)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (Y))$
 $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (JX)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (Y))$ is $NCont$ $NCont$ and NN -open mapping, then $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}$ is Np_Np Np_Np space, where $i=1,2,3,4,5$.

Proof. Suppose that \mathcal{F} be a N_N_N subset in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$ also $\tilde{v} \notin \mathcal{F} \tilde{v} \notin \mathcal{F} \wedge \tilde{v} = Y(\tilde{u}Y(\tilde{u}))$ for some $\tilde{u} \in \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{G}$, when YY is $NCont NCont$ we get $Y^{-1}Y^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$ is N_N_N subset in $\mathcal{G} \ni \tilde{u} \in Y^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \ni \tilde{u} \in Y^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$. Since \mathcal{G} is N_N_N space, then $\exists \exists \mathcal{H}$ and \mathcal{K} are disjoint two $N_O N_O$ sets $\ni \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{H} \ni \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{H} \wedge Y^{-1}Y^{-1}(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{K}$. That is $\tilde{v} \in Y(\mathcal{H}) \tilde{v} \in Y(\mathcal{H}) \wedge \mathcal{F} \in Y(\mathcal{K}) \mathcal{F} \in Y(\mathcal{K})$, where YY is NN -open function in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}$, $YY(\mathcal{H}) \cap YY(\mathcal{K}) = YY(\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$. By using theorem (2.4) So, $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}$ is Np_Np space.

Proposition (3.18): A space $(\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (Y))$ is N_N_N space, if injective $Y: (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (JX)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (Y))$
 $Y: (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (JX)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_i} (Y))$ is $NCont$

$NCont$ and NN - closed function, then $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$ is Np_Np Np_Np space, where $i=1,2,3,4,5$.

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$ is N_N_N subset in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$ and $\tilde{u}\tilde{u}$ not belong to $\mathcal{F}\mathcal{F}$, where YY is NN - closed function, $YY(\mathcal{F})$ is N_N_N subset in $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M} \ni YY(\tilde{u})$ not belong to $YY(\mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}$ is N_N_N space, then \exists two distinct $N_O N_O$ sets \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{K} \mathcal{H} and $\mathcal{K} \ni Y(\tilde{u})Y(\tilde{u}) \subseteq \mathcal{H} \mathcal{H} \wedge YY(\mathcal{F}) \subseteq \mathcal{K} \mathcal{K}$, also $\tilde{u} \in Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \tilde{u} \in Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \wedge \mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K}), \mathcal{F} \subseteq Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K})$, where YY is $NCont$, $NCont$, by using theorem (2.4) thus $Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \cap Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$
 $Y^{-1}(\mathcal{H}) \cap Y^{-1}(\mathcal{K}) = \emptyset$. Then $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$ is Np_Np Np_Np space.

Proposition (3.20) Every $NpNp$ -space and Np_Np Np_Np space is $NpNp$ -space.

Proof. Suppose that $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX)) (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX))$ are Np_Np Np_Np space and $NpNp$ -space, then $\forall \{\tilde{u}\}$ $\{\tilde{u}\}$ is Np_Np subset of $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$, for all $\tilde{u} \in \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$. So \tilde{v} be any point of $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\tilde{u}\}$, then $\tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v}$, $\exists \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} \ni \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K}$ are disjoint two Np_O sets $\ni \{\tilde{u}\} \subseteq \mathcal{K} Np_O$ sets $\ni \{\tilde{u}\} \subseteq \mathcal{K} \wedge \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{H} \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{H}$ implies that $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{H} \wedge \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{K}$
 $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{H} \wedge \tilde{u} \in \mathcal{K}$.

hen $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX)) (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX))$ is $NpNp$ -space.

Proposition (3.21): Every Np_Np space and Np Np -space is $NpNp$ -space.

Proof. A space $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX)) (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX))$ is a Np_Np $NpNp$ -space. To prove $(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX)) (\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{F}_{\mathcal{R}_p} (JX))$ is a $NpNp$ -space. Let $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}, \tilde{v} \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \ni \tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v} \tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v} \wedge \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$ is Np Np -space, so $\{\tilde{u}\}, \{\tilde{v}\}$ are $NpNp$ -subset in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$, then $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\tilde{u}\} \setminus \{\tilde{v}\}$ are Np_O sets Np_O sets. Moreover $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\tilde{u}\} \setminus \{\tilde{v}\}$, for $\tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v}$. Since $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\tilde{u}\}, \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\tilde{u}\} \setminus \{\tilde{v}\}$ is Np_O sets Np_O sets in $\mathcal{G}\mathcal{G}$. then $\exists \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\tilde{u}\} \setminus \{\tilde{v}\}$ is Np -neighborhood \mathcal{H} Np -neighborhood \mathcal{H} of $\tilde{v} \ni \tilde{v} \in \overline{\mathcal{H}} \subseteq \mathcal{G}\mathcal{G} \setminus \{\tilde{u}\}$

\{\tilde{u}\}. Thus $UU = \hat{H}^0, \tilde{V}\hat{H}^0, \tilde{V} = \overline{GG} = \overline{\hat{H}\hat{H}}$ (because G is $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$). It's clear $U, \tilde{V}U, \tilde{V}$ are $Np_{\hat{O}}set$ $Np_{\hat{O}}set$ in \overline{GG} . $U \cap \tilde{V}U \cap \tilde{V} = \hat{H}^0 \cap (G - \hat{H}^0) \cap (G - \overline{\hat{H}\hat{H}}) = \hat{H}\hat{H}^0 \cap (G - \hat{H}^0) \cap (G - \hat{H}^0) \cap \overline{\hat{H}\hat{H}} = \varnothing$. So, $U = \hat{H}^0, U = \hat{H}^0, \hat{H}\hat{H}$ is $NpNp$ -neighborhoods of $\tilde{v} \rightarrow \tilde{v} \in U \tilde{v} \in U, \tilde{v} = G - G - \overline{\hat{H}\hat{H}}, \overline{\hat{H}\hat{H}} \subseteq G - G -$
 $\{\tilde{u}\} \rightarrow \{\tilde{u}\} \subseteq G - \hat{H} = \tilde{V}$
 $\{\tilde{u}\} \subseteq G - \hat{H} = \tilde{V} \rightarrow \{\tilde{u}\} \subseteq \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{u} \in \tilde{V}$.
 $\{\tilde{u}\} \subseteq \tilde{V} \rightarrow \tilde{u} \in \tilde{V}$. We get $\tilde{u}\tilde{v} \in \tilde{V} \in \overline{GG}$
 $\exists \tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v} \exists \tilde{u} \neq \tilde{v} \exists \exists Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$
 $U, \tilde{V}U, \tilde{V} \subseteq \overline{GG} \exists \exists \tilde{u} \in \tilde{V} \tilde{u} \in \tilde{V} \tilde{v} \in U \tilde{v} \in U$
 .Thus $(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))$ is $NpTNpT$ -space.

Theorem (3.22) The property of a space being a $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space is $NpNp$ -topological property.

Proof. Let $ff: (G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX)) \rightarrow (M, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(Y)) \rightarrow (M, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(Y))$ be $NpNp$ -homeomorphism and $(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))$ be $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space. To prove $(M, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(Y))$ is $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space. Let $\tilde{v} \in M, \tilde{v} \in \hat{M}$ and \hat{F} be $Np_{\hat{C}}Np_{\hat{C}}$ subset of $\hat{M}\hat{M}$ such that $\tilde{v} \notin \hat{F} \tilde{v} \in \hat{F}$, ff is bijective. Then $\exists \tilde{u} \in G, \exists \tilde{u} \in G, f(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{v}, f(\tilde{u}) = \tilde{v}$ and $\tilde{u} = f\tilde{u} = f^{-1}(\tilde{v})$. Since ff and ff^{-1} both are $NpCont$ $NpCont$, we get $ff^{-1}(\hat{F}) \subseteq \hat{F} \subseteq \overline{GG}$ is $Np_{\hat{C}}set$ $Np_{\hat{C}}set$, $\tilde{v} \in \hat{F} \tilde{v} \in \hat{F} \rightarrow ff^{-1}(\tilde{v}) \in \hat{F} \rightarrow f^{-1}(\tilde{v}) \in \hat{F}$. Now, $\tilde{u} \in G, \tilde{u} \in G, \tilde{u} \in f^{-1}(\hat{F})$ and $ff^{-1}(\hat{F})$ is $Np_{\hat{C}}set$ $Np_{\hat{C}}set$ in \overline{GG} . By using definition, $\exists \check{K}, \hat{H} \check{K}, \hat{H}$ disjoint two $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ in \overline{GG} , $\check{K} \cap \hat{H} = \varnothing$. Thus, $\tilde{u} \in \check{K}, \tilde{u} \in \check{K}, ff^{-1}(\hat{F}) \subseteq \hat{H} \subseteq \hat{H} \rightarrow f(\tilde{u}) \in ff(\tilde{u}) \in f(\check{K})$ and $ff(ff^{-1}(\hat{F})) \subseteq ff(\hat{H}), f(\check{K} \cap \hat{H})f(\check{K} \cap \hat{H}) = f(\varnothing) = \varnothing, f(\varnothing) = \varnothing$. Furthermore, it, $\tilde{v} \in f(\check{K}) \tilde{v} \in f(\check{K}), \hat{F} \subseteq f(\hat{H}) \hat{F} \subseteq f(\hat{H}) f(\check{K}) \cap f(\hat{H})f(\check{K}) \cap f(\hat{H}) =$

\varnothing , Then $f(\check{K}), f(\hat{H})f(\check{K}), f(\hat{H})$ are two $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ in $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}$. Hence $(M, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(Y))(M, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(Y))$ is $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space.

Theorem (3.23) Every subspace of $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space is $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space.

Proof. Let $(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))$ be $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space and $(M, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(Y))(M, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(Y))$ is a subspace on $(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))$ and \hat{F} be $Np_{\hat{C}}Np_{\hat{C}}$ subset of $\hat{M}\hat{M}$, $\tilde{v} \in \hat{F} \tilde{v} \in \hat{F}$ then $\overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}} = \hat{F} \cap \hat{M} \hat{F} \cap \hat{M}$ such that $\overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}}$ is $Np_{\hat{C}}Np_{\hat{C}}$ set in $\hat{M}\hat{M}$ [by definition subspace], so $\tilde{v} \in \overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}} \tilde{v} \in \overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}}, \tilde{v} \in \hat{M}, \tilde{v} \in \hat{M}$ and $\tilde{v} \in \overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}} \tilde{v} \in \overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}}$

Now, $\overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}}$ is $Np_{\hat{C}}Np_{\hat{C}}$ set in \overline{GG} and $\tilde{v} \in \overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}} \tilde{v} \in \overline{\hat{F}\hat{F}}$ since \overline{GG} is $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space thus \exists disjoint two $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ $\check{K}, \hat{H} \check{K}, \hat{H} \ni \tilde{u} \in \check{K}, \tilde{u} \in \check{K}$ & $\hat{F} \subseteq \hat{H} \subseteq \hat{H}$. Furthermore, it $\check{K} \cap \hat{M} = K^*$ $\check{K} \cap \hat{M} = K^*$ and $\hat{H} \cap \hat{M} = H^*$ $\hat{H} \cap \hat{M} = H^*$ we get H^*, K^*, H^*, K^* are disjoint two $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ $Np_{\hat{O}}sets$ when $H^* \cup K^* = (\check{K} \cap \hat{M}) \cap (\hat{H} \cap \hat{M}) = \varnothing$ $H^* \cup K^* = (\check{K} \cap \hat{M}) \cap (\hat{H} \cap \hat{M}) = \varnothing$ and $\tilde{v} \in K^* \tilde{v} \in K^*$ because $\tilde{v} \in \check{K}, \tilde{v} \in \hat{M}$ $\tilde{v} \in \check{K}, \tilde{v} \in \hat{M}$, also $\hat{F} \subseteq H^* \subseteq H^*$ because $\hat{F} \subseteq \hat{H}$ and $\hat{F} \cap \hat{M} = \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{H}$ and $\hat{F} \cap \hat{M} = \hat{F}^*$ $\hat{F}^* = \hat{F}$.

Remark (3.24) A space $(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))$ is $NpNp_{T_3}$ -space, only if $Np_{\mathfrak{R}}Np_{\mathfrak{R}}$ space with also $NpNp_{T_1}$ -space.

Now, proposition that study the Properties of $NpNp_{T_3}$ -space through approximation.

Proposition (3.25) A space $(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))(G, \mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX))$ is $N\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}N\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}$, if $(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX)) = G(\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX)) = G$, then \overline{GG} is Np_{T_3} -space.

Proof. Since $\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX)\mathfrak{U}_{\mathfrak{R}p}(JX) = \overline{GG}$, then \overline{GG} is discrete space and by using definition (2.7(2)) became \overline{G}

G is $Np_R Np_R$ and $Np Np_{T_1}$ -space, then GG is $Np Np_{T_3}$ -space.

We study hereditary and $Np - Np -$ topological property of $Np Np_{T_3}$ -space.

Proposition (3.26) $Np Np_{T_3}$ - space has $Np - Np -$ topological property's

Proof. Suppose $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))$ is $Np Np_{T_3}$. Since $Np Np_{T_3}$ - Space is $Np Np_{T_1}$ -Space with $Np Np_{R}$ by definition (2.7(2)) and both are $Np Np_{R}$ topological property by remark (2.6(2)) and by Proposition (3.22). Then $Np Np_{T_3}$ -space is topological property.

Proposition (3.27) $Np Np_{T_3}$ -space has hereditary property .

Proof. Suppose $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))$ is $Np Np_{T_3}$, since $Np Np_{T_3}$ -space is $Np Np_{T_1}$ and $Np Np_{R}$ by definition (2.7(2))and both are by remark (2.6(2)) and by hereditary theorem(3.24)then $Np Np_{T_3}$ -space has hereditary property.

Definition (3.28): The space $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))$ $G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X)$ is said to be Strongly Nano Penta Regular space $(SNp_R SNp_R)$ space if for each \hat{F} is $Np_C set Np_C set$ and for each a point \hat{u} not belong to $\hat{F}, \exists \hat{F}', \exists$ disjoint two $N_O set N_O set_s \hat{K}$ \hat{K} and \hat{H} in $GG \exists \hat{u} \in \hat{H} \wedge \hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$ $\hat{F} \subseteq \hat{K}$. As from the example (3.8).

Proposition (3.29) For space $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))$ $G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X)$ is $N\mathcal{F}_{R_p}, N\mathcal{F}_{R_p}$, if GG is SNp_R SNp_R space then it is $Np_R Np_R$ space. The convers is not true.

Proof. By definition and theorem (2.4) . Then GG is $Np_R Np_R$ space. As shown the example (3.2) is $Np Np_{R}$ space but, not $SNp SNp_{R}$ space.

IV. CONCLUSION

The main results of this paper are stated as below:

1. Each $N_R N_R$ space is $Np - R Np - R$ space.
2. A space $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))$ is $Np - R Np - R$ space only if $\mathcal{U}_{R_p}(X) \mathcal{U}_{R_p}(X) = G, \mathcal{L}_{R_p}(X) = \emptyset$ and $\mathcal{U}_{R_p}(X) \neq \mathcal{L}_{R_p}(X) \mathcal{U}_{R_p}(X) \neq \mathcal{L}_{R_p}(X)$.
3. A space $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X)$ is $N\mathcal{F}_{R_p} N\mathcal{F}_{R_p}$ only if $\mathcal{U}_{R_p}(X) \neq G \mathcal{U}_{R_p}(X) \neq G$ and $\mathcal{L}_{R_p}(X) \neq \emptyset$ then $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X)$ is $Np - R Np - R$ space.
4. Every $Np Np_{T_0}$ -space and $Np_R Np_R$ space is $Np Np_{T_1}$ - space.
5. Every $Np_R Np_R$ space and $Np Np_{T_1}$ -space is $Np Np_{T_2}$ -space.
6. A space $(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))(G, \mathcal{F}_{R_p}(X))$ is $Np Np_{T_3}$ -space, only if $Np_R Np_R$ space with also $Np Np_{T_1}$ -space
7. Every $SNp_R SNp_R$ space then it is $Np_R Np_R$ space. The convers is not true.

REFERENCES

- [1] Bhuvaneswari, K., & Gnanapriya, K. M. (2015). On Nano generalized continuous function in nano topological space. *International Journal of Mathematical Archive*, 6(6), 182-186.
- [2] Murad, N. A., & Jasim, T. H. (2020). *N* Open Sets Via Nano Topological Spaces* (Doctoral dissertation, M. Phil. Thesis, University of Tikrit).
- [3] Thivagar, M. L., & Richard, C. (2014). Note on nano topological spaces. *Communicated*, 1(2.2), 2-3.
- [4] Yaseen, R. B., Alobaidi, M. H., & Shihab, A. A. (2022). Applications of Nano Penta (N_P) Separation axioms using N_P_ open sets. *Tikrit Journal of Pure Science*, 27(5), 117-122.
- [5] Yaseen, R. B., Shihab, A. A., & Alobaidi, M. H. (2023, February). On nano Penta topological spaces. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2414, No. 1). AIP Publishing.