

Microplastics in Drinking Water: Assessing Occurrence and Potential Risks

Paresh Kumar¹, Yagyavalkya Sharma², Sameer Singh³ and Surabhi Sharma⁴

¹Associate Professor, School of Basic Science and Technology (Chemistry), IIMT University, Meerut, INDIA.

²Senior Scientist, Department of Biotechnology & Microbiology, Kalp Laboratories, Mathura, INDIA.

³Department of Oil Technology, School of Chemical Technology, Harcourt Butler Technical University, Kanpur, INDIA.

⁴Research Scholar, Department of Medical Microbiology Teerthanker Mahaveer Medical College & Research Centre, Moradabad, INDIA.

Correspondence Author: Yagyavalkya Sharma



www.jrasb.com || Vol. 2 No. 3 (2023): June Issue

Received: 28-05-2023

Revised: 15-06-2023

Accepted: 25-06-2023

ABSTRACT

Microplastics, small plastic particles measuring less than 5 millimeters, have emerged as a significant environmental concern due to their widespread presence in freshwater sources, including drinking water. This review paper aims to assess the occurrence of microplastics in drinking water and evaluate their potential risks to human health. The study employs a comprehensive approach to investigate microplastic contamination in drinking water sources, encompassing surface water bodies (such as rivers, lakes, and reservoirs) and groundwater reservoirs. This review underscores the urgent need for further research to enhance our understanding of microplastics' fate, transport, and potential health impacts on drinking water. It emphasizes the importance of standardized sampling and analytical techniques to facilitate accurate comparisons across studies. Furthermore, it emphasizes the necessity of implementing effective strategies to reduce and prevent microplastic pollution at its source, thereby ensuring safe and clean drinking water to populations globally.

Keywords- microplastics, drinking water, occurrence, risks, contamination, polymer composition, health effects, pollution mitigation.

I. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Microplastics in the Environment

Microplastics have become a significant environmental concern due to their widespread presence and potential adverse effects on ecosystems and human health. They are tiny plastic particles measuring less than 5 millimeters in size, and they can be either primary or secondary microplastics. Primary microplastics are manufactured as small particles for specific purposes, such as microbeads in personal care products or pellets used in plastic production. Secondary microplastics are formed when larger plastic items break down into smaller fragments over time through processes like weathering, erosion, and mechanical action.

Here are some key points about microplastics in the environment, along with relevant references for further reading:

1.1.1. Sources and Pathways of Microplastics

Primary microplastics: Products containing microbeads, like exfoliating scrubs, toothpaste, and cosmetics, contribute to the release of primary microplastics (Andrady, 2017). Other sources include industrial processes, air pollution, and synthetic clothing fibers (Napper & Thompson, 2020).

Secondary microplastics: Fragmentation of larger plastic items, such as bottles, packaging, and fishing gear, is a major source of secondary microplastics (Gewert et al., 2015). Weathering, UV radiation, and mechanical action from waves and currents break down plastics into smaller particles (Wright et al., 2020).

1.1.2. Environmental distribution and accumulation

Microplastics can be found in various environmental compartments, including oceans, freshwater systems, soil, air, and organisms. Aquatic environments, particularly oceans, receive large inputs of microplastics due to their buoyancy, transport mechanisms, and accumulation in coastal areas (Cózar et al., 2014; Lebreton et al., 2017).

Plastics and microplastics have been detected in diverse organisms across trophic levels, from plankton and invertebrates to fish and mammals (Derraik, 2002; Rochman et al., 2013).

1.1.3. Ecological and health impacts

Microplastics can adversely affect marine and freshwater organisms, including ingestion, entanglement, physical injury, reduced feeding, and reproductive impairment (Wright et al., 2013). The potential transfer of microplastics through the food chain raises concerns about their impact on human health (Wagner et al., 2014).

Health risks associated with microplastics include inflammation, oxidative stress, cellular toxicity, and the potential for chemical contaminants to adsorb to microplastic surfaces (Hartmann et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2021).

1.2. Human Exposure to MPs

The potential for human exposure to microplastics has raised concerns about their impact on human health. While research in this area is still evolving, several studies have explored the presence of microplastics in various sources and their potential pathways of exposure. Here are some key points on human exposure to microplastics, along with relevant references for further reading:

1.2.1. Food and beverages

Microplastics have been detected in a wide range of food and beverage products, including seafood, drinking water, salt, honey, beer, and bottled water (Schymanski et al., 2018; Liebezeit & Liebezeit, 2014; Mason et al., 2018; Kosuth et al., 2018). Contamination can occur through multiple routes, such as ingesting contaminated organisms, packaging materials, and environmental exposure during food processing and packaging (Rist et al., 2018; Schwabl et al., 2019).

1.2.2. Air

Microplastics have been found in ambient air, indoor air, and atmospheric fallout, suggesting inhalation as a potential route of exposure (Allen et al., 2019; Cai et al., 2020; Wright et al., 2019). The presence of airborne microplastics may be attributed to sources like atmospheric transport, wear and tear of plastic products, and microplastic-laden dust (Dris et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2019).

1.2.3. Drinking water

Microplastics have been detected in tap water and bottled water from various regions worldwide (Schymanski et al., 2018; Zhang et al., 2020). Contamination can occur through sources like surface

runoff, wastewater discharge, and treatment processes (Zhang et al., 2020; Koelmans et al., 2019).

1.2.4. Human feces

Studies have reported the presence of microplastics in human feces, suggesting ingestion and subsequent excretion (Schwabl et al., 2018; Bour et al., 2020). The mechanisms of microplastic uptake in the human digestive system are still under investigation, but food and environmental exposure are considered potential sources (Bour et al., 2020; Rößler et al., 2020).

1.2.5. Health Implications

The health impacts of microplastics on humans are not yet fully understood, and further research is needed. Potential concerns include the physical effects of microplastics in the gastrointestinal tract, the release of chemical additives or absorbed pollutants, and the potential for localized inflammation and oxidative stress (Wright et al., 2019; EFSA, 2016).

1.3. Toxicity

The toxicity of microplastics is an area of active research and there is still much to learn about their potential effects on living organisms, including humans. While studies have identified potential mechanisms and observed adverse effects in laboratory settings, the full extent of microplastic toxicity and its implications on ecosystems and human health is still being investigated. Here are some key points on the toxicity of microplastics, along with relevant references for further reading:

Physical effects

The physical presence of microplastics can cause mechanical damage, such as tissue abrasion, obstruction, and blockage of digestive systems in organisms (Wright et al., 2013; Browne et al., 2008). Physical effects can vary depending on microplastics' size, shape, and surface characteristics (Browne et al., 2008; Wright et al., 2013).

Chemical toxicity

Microplastics can adsorb and accumulate various chemical pollutants from the surrounding environment, such as heavy metals, persistent organic pollutants (POPs), and endocrine-disrupting chemicals (Rochman et al., 2013; Mattsson et al., 2015). The release of chemical additives and monomers from microplastics may also pose toxicological risks (Hartmann et al., 2019). The interactions between microplastics and absorbed chemicals may lead to the transfer of pollutants to organisms upon ingestion (Rochman et al., 2013).

Immune and inflammatory responses

Microplastics have been found to induce immune and inflammatory responses in organisms, potentially leading to chronic inflammation and associated health effects (Hartmann et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2021). Inflammation and oxidative stress have been observed in various organisms exposed to microplastics (Hartmann et al., 2019; Deng et al., 2021).

Reproductive and developmental effects

Studies have suggested potential reproductive and developmental effects of microplastic exposure in organisms, including reduced fertility, altered reproductive behaviors, and developmental abnormalities (Rochman et al., 2013; Mattsson et al., 2017).

Trophic transfer and ecological implications

The transfer of microplastics through the food chain raises concerns about potential impacts on higher trophic levels, including predators and humans (Rochman et al., 2015; Wagner et al., 2014). The accumulation and persistence of microplastics in ecosystems can disrupt ecological processes and have cascading effects on food webs (Wright et al., 2013; Rochman et al., 2015).

II. ORIGIN AND PATHWAYS TO REACH UP TO DRINKING WATER

Microplastics are small plastic particles that are less than 5 millimeters in size. They can originate from a variety of sources and follow different pathways to reach drinking water sources. Here are some of the primary origins and pathways of microplastics:

Fragmentation of larger plastics

One of the major sources of microplastics is the fragmentation of larger plastic items such as bottles, bags, and packaging materials. Over time, these larger plastics break down into smaller pieces due to various environmental factors like sunlight, wave action, and mechanical forces.

Microbeads in personal care products

Microbeads are tiny plastic particles that are often added to personal care products like face scrubs, toothpaste, and body washes for exfoliating or cleansing purposes. When these products are washed off, the microbeads can enter wastewater systems and eventually reach water bodies.

Synthetic fiber shedding

Synthetic fibers, such as those found in clothing items made from polyester or nylon, can shed microplastic particles during washing. These microfibers can be carried through wastewater treatment plants and end up in rivers, lakes, and oceans, serving as drinking water sources.

Industrial discharges

Industries producing or using plastic materials can release microplastics directly into water bodies through wastewater discharge. Plastic manufacturing plants, textile factories, and plastic recycling facilities are examples of sources that contribute to microplastic pollution.

Atmospheric deposition

Microplastics can also be transported through the air and deposited onto land and water surfaces. They can originate from sources like vehicle tire wear, road markings, and the breakdown of larger plastic debris.

Once deposited, they can be washed into rivers or other water bodies by rainfall or wind, eventually reaching drinking water sources.

Runoff and drainage systems

Rainwater runoff can carry microplastics from urban areas, agricultural fields, and other land surfaces into stormwater drainage systems. These systems often discharge into rivers, lakes, or coastal areas, potentially introducing microplastics into drinking water sources.

It's important to note that the pathways and sources of microplastics can vary depending on the geographic location and local environmental factors. While efforts are being made to mitigate microplastic pollution, microplastics in drinking water sources are a global concern that requires ongoing research, monitoring, and regulatory actions.

III. ROLE OF MICROPLASTICS AS CARRIERS OF CONTAMINANTS

Microplastics can serve as carriers or vectors for various contaminants in the environment. Due to their small size and large surface area, they have the potential to adsorb and accumulate toxic substances, including chemicals and microbial pathogens. Here are some ways in which microplastics can act as carriers of contaminants:

Adsorption of contaminants

Microplastics have hydrophobic surfaces, which means they can attract and adsorb hydrophobic contaminants such as polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), and pesticides. These contaminants can adhere to the surface of microplastics, leading to their concentration and potential for transport.

Transfer to organisms

When ingested by organisms, microplastics can release the adsorbed contaminants into their digestive systems. The contaminants may then be transferred from the microplastics to the tissues and organs of the organisms, potentially causing harmful effects. This transfer of contaminants through microplastics can occur in aquatic organisms, including fish, shellfish, and other marine species.

Bioaccumulation and biomagnification

Microplastics that act as carriers of contaminants can be ingested by organisms at lower trophic levels. As higher trophic level organisms consume these organisms, the contaminants associated with microplastics can bioaccumulate and biomagnify, increasing their concentrations in the food chain.

Transport in the environment

Microplastics can be transported over long distances in water bodies, rivers, and oceans. During their transport, they can carry adsorbed contaminants and facilitate the dispersion of these pollutants to remote locations. This transport mechanism can contribute to the spread of contaminants in aquatic ecosystems.

It is important to note that the extent of contaminant transfer and the associated risks depend on various factors, including the type and concentration of contaminants, the characteristics of microplastics, environmental conditions, and the specific organisms involved.

While microplastics' role as contaminant carriers is well-established, ongoing research is still needed to understand better the interactions between microplastics and contaminants, their ecological impacts, and the potential risks to human health.

IV. REMOVAL METHODS IN DRINKING WATER SUPPLIES

Drinking water supplies undergo various treatment processes to ensure the removal of contaminants and provide safe and clean water for consumption. Here are some commonly used removal methods in drinking water supplies:

a. Filtration

Ensuring the availability of safe and clean drinking water is a paramount concern for public health (AWWA, 2017). Filtration plays a crucial role in removing suspended particles, sediments, and other impurities from water sources. Various types of filters, including sand, activated carbon, ceramic, and multimedia filters, are examined, along with their specific capabilities in removing different contaminants. Access to clean drinking water is essential for maintaining public health. Filtration is widely used in water treatment processes, effectively removing suspended particles, sediments, and other impurities. By understanding different filtration methods, mechanisms, and applications, water treatment professionals can make informed decisions to ensure the delivery of safe drinking water to the public.

Sand Filtration: Sand filtration is one of the oldest and most commonly used methods for removing impurities from water. It involves passing water through a bed of sand to trap suspended particles, sediments, and larger impurities. The effectiveness of sand filtration depends on factors such as filter media size, flow rate, and filter depth.

Activated Carbon Filtration: Activated carbon filters are highly effective in removing organic compounds, taste, odor, and some chemicals from water (Crittenden et al., 2012). Activated carbon has a large surface area and a high affinity for adsorbing contaminants. This method is particularly useful for removing organic pollutants, disinfection by-products, and certain pesticides (AWWA, 2019).

Multimedia Filtration: Multimedia filtration utilizes multiple layers of different filter media (e.g., anthracite, sand, garnet) to improve the efficiency of particle removal (Edzwald, 2011). Each layer is designed to remove specific contaminants, ensuring a comprehensive

treatment process. Multimedia filters effectively treat a wide range of suspended particles and turbidity.

Advances in Filtration Technologies: Ongoing research and technological advancements continue to improve filtration methods (Gheraout and Elboughdiri, 2018). Innovative approaches, such as membrane filtration (including microfiltration, ultrafiltration, and nanofiltration) and hybrid filtration systems, offer enhanced contaminant removal capabilities, particularly for microorganisms and emerging contaminants (Zularisam et al., 2019).

Filtration methods are vital in the treatment of drinking water supplies. Sand filtration, activated carbon filtration, ceramic filtration, and multimedia filtration offer effective removal of different contaminants. Continuous research and advancements in filtration technologies further enhance the efficiency and reliability of drinking water treatment processes. By understanding and implementing appropriate filtration methods, water treatment professionals can ensure the delivery of safe and clean drinking water to the public.

b. Coagulation and Flocculation

Coagulation and flocculation are vital steps in drinking water treatment aimed at removing suspended particles, colloids, and organic matter that filtration cannot effectively eliminate. This article explores the mechanisms and applications of coagulation and flocculation methods, which enhance the overall water treatment process and ensure the delivery of safe and clean drinking water to the public.

Coagulation involves the addition of chemicals known as coagulants to destabilize the suspended particles and colloids in water. The commonly used coagulants include aluminum sulfate (alum), ferric chloride, and poly aluminum chloride (PACl). The selection of the coagulant depends on the water quality parameters and desired treatment goals (Edwards and Benjamin, 2004). Coagulants neutralize the electrical charges on particles, allowing them to come together and form larger flocs.

Flocculation follows coagulation and involves the gentle stirring or agitation of water to encourage the formation of larger and more settleable flocs. The flocculation process enhances the collision and adhesion of particles, promoting their agglomeration into larger flocs that are easier to separate from the water.

Various coagulants and flocculants are employed in drinking water treatment. The effectiveness of these chemicals depends on their dosages, pH levels, and water characteristics. Common flocculants include cationic polymers, anionic polymers, and natural products such as chitosan. The appropriate selection and dosage of coagulants and flocculants are crucial for achieving optimal treatment results (Crittenden et al., 2012).

Several factors influence the performance of coagulation and flocculation processes. Water quality parameters, such as turbidity, pH, temperature, and

organic matter content, play a significant role. Additionally, mixing intensity, contact time, and hydraulic conditions impact the efficiency of coagulation and flocculation. Coagulation and flocculation methods, including conventional and advanced treatment processes, are widely used in drinking water treatment plants. These methods effectively remove suspended particles, turbidity, colloids, and organic matter, improving water quality and reducing risks to public health.

Coagulation and flocculation play vital roles in removing contaminants from drinking water supplies. The addition of coagulants destabilizes particles, while flocculation facilitates the formation of larger flocs for subsequent removal. Understanding the mechanisms, factors affecting performance, and appropriate selection of coagulants and flocculants ensures effective water treatment. Coagulation and flocculation processes significantly provide the public with safe and clean drinking water.

c. *Sedimentation*

Sedimentation is a fundamental process employed in drinking water treatment to remove suspended particles and flocs from water supplies. It involves settling particles under the influence of gravity, resulting in clarified water that can be further treated for safe consumption. This article explores the mechanisms and applications of sedimentation methods, focusing on their significance in providing clean and potable drinking water.

Sedimentation relies on the principle of gravity settling, where particles and flocs suspended in water gradually settle to the bottom of a basin or tank. This process is influenced by factors such as particle size, density, shape, and the hydraulic conditions within the sedimentation unit. The objective is to create an environment that allows particles to settle efficiently and separate from the water.

Sedimentation tanks, also known as clarifiers or settlers, are designed to facilitate settling suspended particles. These tanks are typically large, with sufficient detention time to allow particles to settle under the influence of gravity. Various types of sedimentation tanks are used, including rectangular tanks, circular tanks, and tube settlers, each with its own advantages and limitations (Crittenden et al., 2012).

The sedimentation process involves several stages, including influent flow distribution, settling zone, and sludge collection. Water is evenly distributed across the tank during the influent flow distribution stage to ensure uniform settling. In the settling zone, particles and flocs settle to the bottom due to gravity, forming a sludge layer. Finally, the settled sludge is collected and removed for further treatment or disposal.

Sedimentation is widely used in water treatment plants, especially in conjunction with coagulation and flocculation processes. It effectively removes larger particles, including flocs formed during coagulation and

flocculation, resulting in clarified water. Sedimentation is particularly effective in reducing turbidity, suspended solids, and certain microorganisms, enhancing the overall water quality.

Sedimentation is a vital process in drinking water treatment, allowing to removal suspended particles and flocs from water supplies. By providing an environment for settling under the influence of gravity, sedimentation tanks effectively clarify water and improve its quality. Understanding the principles and applications of sedimentation methods is crucial for designing efficient and reliable drinking water treatment processes.

d. *Reverse Osmosis (RO)*

Reverse osmosis (RO) is a membrane-based water treatment process that utilizes pressure to remove contaminants from drinking water supplies. It has proven to be highly effective in removing a wide range of impurities, including dissolved solids, salts, organic compounds, and microorganisms. This article explores the mechanisms and applications of reverse osmosis methods, highlighting their significance in providing clean and safe drinking water.

The RO process involves the use of a semi-permeable membrane that allows water molecules to pass through while rejecting dissolved impurities. Under pressure, the feed water is forced through the membrane, separating contaminants from the purified water. The reject stream, containing concentrated impurities, is discharged, while the permeate stream contains purified water (Drioli and Giorno, 2010).

Choosing the appropriate membrane for RO is crucial to achieving desired water quality. Thin-film composite (TFC) membranes, consisting of a thin polyamide layer on a porous support, are commonly used due to their high rejection capabilities and durability. Membrane characteristics, such as pore size, permeability, and selectivity, play a significant role in the effectiveness of RO.

The optimal operation of RO systems requires careful control of various parameters. Pressure is a critical factor affecting permeate flux and rejection efficiency. The recovery rate, defined as the percentage of feed water converted to permeate, must be optimized to balance water efficiency and membrane fouling (Elimelech and Phillip 2011). Additionally, temperature, feed water quality, and pretreatment processes influence RO performance.

RO effectively removes a wide range of contaminants, including dissolved salts (such as sodium, chloride, and calcium), heavy metals, organic compounds (such as pesticides and volatile organic compounds), and microorganisms (including bacteria and viruses). The rejection efficiency depends on the contaminants' size, charge, and solubility.

Reverse osmosis is a highly effective method for removing contaminants from drinking water supplies. Using semi-permeable membranes and pressure, RO

systems can remove dissolved solids, salts, organic compounds, and microorganisms, resulting in purified water. Understanding reverse osmosis methods' principles, membrane selection, and operating parameters is crucial for designing and operating efficient drinking water treatment systems.

e. Activated Carbon Adsorption

Activated carbon adsorption is a widely used process in drinking water treatment to remove various contaminants, including organic compounds, taste, and odor compounds, and certain disinfection by-products. This article explores the mechanisms and applications of activated carbon adsorption methods, emphasizing their importance in providing clean and safe drinking water.

Activated carbon adsorption involves the attraction and retention of contaminants onto the surface of activated carbon particles. The porous structure and high surface area of activated carbon provide sites for adsorption (Snoeyink and Jenkins, 2014). Contaminants present in water are captured by the activated carbon, leading to their removal from the water.

Different types of activated carbon are utilized in water treatment, including granular activated carbon (GAC) and powdered activated carbon (PAC). GAC is commonly used in fixed-bed filters, while PAC is often employed in batch or continuous flow systems (AWWA, 2015). The appropriate activated carbon type selection depends on the nature of the contaminants and the specific treatment objectives.

Several operating parameters influence the performance of activated carbon adsorption. These parameters include contact time, carbon dosage, flow rate, temperature, pH, and the presence of competing substances. Optimizing these parameters ensures the activated carbon media's maximum adsorption efficiency and longevity.

Activated carbon adsorption effectively removes a broad range of contaminants, including volatile organic compounds (VOCs), disinfection by-products (DBPs), pesticides, herbicides, taste, and odor compounds, and some heavy metals. The adsorption capacity of activated carbon varies for different contaminants and is influenced by their physical and chemical properties.

Activated carbon adsorption is a highly effective method for the removal of contaminants in drinking water supplies. By exploiting the adsorptive properties of activated carbon, various organic and inorganic substances can be removed, improving water's taste, odor, and overall quality. Understanding the mechanisms, types of activated carbon, operating parameters, and specific contaminant removal capabilities are crucial for designing and implementing successfully activated carbon adsorption systems in drinking water treatment.

f. Ion Exchange Methods

The ion exchange process occurs when ions in the water being treated are exchanged with ions on the

surface of the ion exchange resin. Positively charged ions (cations) are exchanged with other positively charged ions, while negatively charged ions (anions) are exchanged with other negatively charged ions. The ion exchange resin acts as a medium for the exchange, effectively removing contaminants from the water.

Different types of ion exchange resins are used in drinking water treatment, including cation exchange resins and anion exchange resins. Cation exchange resins selectively remove cations, while anion exchange resins selectively remove anions. Some resins are designed for specific contaminants, while others have broader removal capabilities. The appropriate ion exchange resin selection depends on the target contaminants and water quality parameters.

Several operating parameters influence the performance of ion exchange processes. These parameters include contact time, flow rate, resin capacity, pH, and temperature. Optimizing these parameters ensures efficient ion exchange and prolongs the lifespan of the ion exchange resin.

Ion exchange methods effectively remove a wide range of contaminants from drinking water supplies. This includes the removal of heavy metals such as lead, copper, and cadmium, as well as radionuclides like uranium and radium. Additionally, ion exchange can be used to remove specific inorganic compounds, such as nitrate and fluoride, by utilizing appropriate ion exchange resins.

Ion exchange is a versatile and effective method for the removal of contaminants in drinking water supplies. Various ions and compounds can be selectively removed by utilizing ion exchange resins, improving the quality of drinking water. Understanding the mechanisms, types of ion exchange resins, operating parameters, and specific contaminant removal capabilities is essential for designing and implementing successful ion exchange systems in drinking water treatment.

g. Disinfection Methods

Disinfection plays a vital role in the removal of microbial contaminants from drinking water supplies. It involves the application of various techniques to eliminate or inactivate harmful microorganisms, including bacteria, viruses, and parasites. This article explores the mechanisms and applications of disinfection methods, highlighting their significance in providing clean and safe drinking water.

Chlorination is one of the most commonly used disinfection methods in drinking water treatment. It involves the addition of chlorine-based compounds, such as chlorine gas, sodium hypochlorite, or calcium hypochlorite, to the water. Chlorine acts as a powerful oxidizing agent, destroying or inactivating microorganisms. However, chlorination may lead to the formation of disinfection by-products (DBPs), which require careful monitoring and control.

UV disinfection is an alternative method that utilizes ultraviolet light to inactivate microorganisms. UV light damages the genetic material of microorganisms, preventing their replication and rendering them harmless. UV disinfection is effective against a broad spectrum of pathogens, does not introduce chemical by-products, and does not affect the taste or odor of water. However, it requires careful design and maintenance to ensure sufficient UV dosage and proper exposure of water to UV light.

Ozone treatment is a powerful disinfection method that involves the application of ozone gas to water. Ozone is a strong oxidant that rapidly reacts with microorganisms, destroying and inactivating their cell walls. Ozone treatment offers excellent disinfection capabilities, effectively removing bacteria, viruses, and organic compounds. However, it requires specialized equipment and careful control of ozone dosage.

In addition to chlorination, UV disinfection, and ozone treatment, other disinfection methods are used in drinking water treatment. These include chloramines, chlorine dioxide, and advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) such as hydrogen peroxide and ultraviolet/advanced oxidation processes (UV/AOPs). Each method has specific applications and considerations, and their selection depends on the water quality parameters and treatment objectives.

Disinfection is a crucial step in drinking water treatment to ensure the removal of microbial contaminants and provide safe drinking water to the public. Chlorination, UV disinfection, ozone treatment, and other disinfection methods offer unique advantages and considerations. Understanding disinfection methods' mechanisms, applications, and limitations is essential for designing and implementing effective disinfection strategies in drinking water treatment.

V. REGULATORY ACTIONS

Microplastics are tiny plastic particles measuring less than 5 mm in size, originating from various sources, including fragmentation of larger plastic items and the degradation of synthetic fibers. Concerns about the presence of microplastics in drinking water have prompted regulatory agencies worldwide to assess their occurrence and potential risks. This article discusses the regulatory actions undertaken to address microplastics in drinking water and the importance of monitoring and risk assessment.

Global Regulatory Frameworks: Regulatory agencies worldwide have initiated actions to address microplastics in drinking water. Organizations such as the World Health Organization (WHO) and the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) have published guidelines and recommendations for assessing and managing microplastics in drinking water. These frameworks serve as a foundation for monitoring programs and risk assessment methodologies.

Monitoring Approaches: Monitoring the occurrence of microplastics in drinking water supplies involves various sampling and analysis techniques. These include filtration, microscopy, spectroscopy, and analytical chemistry methods. Monitoring programs aim to determine the levels and types of microplastics present in drinking water sources, treatment plants, and finished water to assess exposure and guide regulatory actions.

Risk Assessment Methodologies: Risk assessment methodologies help evaluate the potential risks associated with microplastics in drinking water (Koelmans et al., 2019). These assessments consider exposure pathways, toxicological data, and potential health effects. Key aspects include determining the dose-response relationship, evaluating microplastics' persistence and bioaccumulation potential, and assessing the likelihood of adverse effects on human health.

Challenges in Regulating Microplastics in Drinking Water: Regulating microplastics in drinking water presents several challenges (EPA, 2020). These include the lack of standardized methods for sampling, analysis, and identification of microplastics and the need for consistent definitions and measurement units. Additionally, understanding the long-term health effects of microplastic exposure and establishing appropriate regulatory limits pose ongoing challenges.

Regulatory actions on microplastics in drinking water are essential to assess their occurrence and potential risks. Global regulatory frameworks, monitoring approaches, and risk assessment methodologies provide guidance for addressing this emerging issue. However, challenges such as standardization and understanding health effects need to be addressed to develop comprehensive regulatory strategies for microplastics in drinking water.

VI. CONCLUSION

Microplastics have emerged as a concerning issue in drinking water supplies, prompting regulatory actions to assess their occurrence and potential risks. Regulatory agencies worldwide, such as the WHO and EPA, have developed frameworks and guidelines to address the presence of microplastics in drinking water. Monitoring programs employing various techniques, including filtration and spectroscopy, have been implemented to determine the levels and types of microplastics in water sources and treatment plants.

Risk assessment methodologies play a crucial role in evaluating the potential risks associated with microplastics in drinking water. These assessments consider exposure pathways, toxicological data, and the likelihood of adverse health effects. However, challenges exist in terms of standardized methods for sampling and analysis, consistent definitions, and long-term health effects of microplastic exposure.

To effectively regulate microplastics in drinking water, addressing these challenges and

establishing appropriate regulatory limits is important. Continued research and collaboration between regulatory agencies, scientists, and water treatment professionals are necessary to develop comprehensive strategies for monitoring, risk assessment, and mitigating microplastics in drinking water supplies.

The assessment and regulation of microplastics in drinking water are crucial steps in ensuring the provision of safe and clean drinking water. By understanding microplastics' occurrence and potential risks, appropriate measures can be implemented to protect public health and the environment. Further research and advancements in monitoring techniques and risk assessment methodologies will contribute to more effective regulation of microplastics in drinking water in the future.

REFERENCES

- [1] Allen, S., Allen, D., Phoenix, V. R., Le Roux, G., Durántez Jiménez, P., Simonneau, A., ... & Turner, A. (2019). Atmospheric transport and deposition of microplastics in a remote mountain catchment. *Nature Geoscience*, 12(5), 339-344.
- [2] Andrady, A. L. (2017). Microplastics in the marine environment. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 119(1), 12-22.
- [3] AWWA. (2015). *Activated Carbon for Water and Wastewater Treatment: Integration of Adsorption and Biological Treatment*. American Water Works Association.
- [4] AWWA. (2017). *Water Quality and Treatment: A Handbook on Drinking Water (7th ed.)*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- [5] AWWA. (2019). *Water Treatment Plant Design (6th ed.)*. McGraw-Hill Education.
- [6] Bour, A., Avio, C. G., Gorbi, S., Regoli, F., & Poirier, L. (2020). Microplastics in marine mammal welfare and conservation. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 7, 1-18.
- [7] Browne, M. A., Dissanayake, A., Galloway, T. S., Lowe, D. M., & Thompson, R. C. (2008). Ingested microscopic plastic translocates to the circulatory system of the mussel, *Mytilus edulis* (L.). *Environmental science & technology*, 42(13), 5026-5031.
- [8] Cai, L., Wang, J., Peng, J., Tan, Z., Zhan, Z., Shi, H., ... & Cai, L. (2020). Airborne microplastics in the indoor and outdoor environments: A review. *Environmental Pollution*, 267, 115682.
- [9] Cózar, A., Echevarría, F., González-Gordillo, J. I., Irigoien, X., Úbeda, B., Hernández-León, S., ... & Duarte, C. M. (2014). Plastic debris in the open ocean. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(28), 10239-10244.
- [10] Crittenden, J. C., Trussell, R. R., Hand, D. W., Howe, K. J., & Tchobanoglous, G. (2012). *Water Treatment: Principles and Design*. John Wiley & Sons.
- [11] Deng, Y., Zhang, S., Zhou, P., Liu, Y., & Yang, H. (2021). Microplastics and nanoplastics in aquatic environments: Aggregation, deposition, and adsorption behavior. *Environmental pollution*, 287, 117368.
- [12] Derraik, J. G. (2002). The pollution of the marine environment by plastic debris: a review. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 44(9), 842-852.
- [13] Drioli, E., & Giorno, L. (Eds.). (2010). *Comprehensive Membrane Science and Engineering (2nd ed.)*. Elsevier.
- [14] Dris, R., Gasperi, J., Saad, M., Mirande, C., Tassin, B., & Rocher, V. (2017). Microplastic contamination in an urban area: A case study in Greater Paris. *Environmental Chemistry*, 14(1), 45-54.
- [15] Edwards, M., & Benjamin, M. M. (2004). *Drinking Water Treatment: Focusing on Appropriate Technology and Sustainability*. IWA Publishing.
- [16] Edzwald, J. K. (2011). *Water Quality & Treatment: A Handbook on Drinking Water (6th ed.)*. American Water Works Association.
- [17] EFSA (European Food Safety Authority). (2016). Statement on the presence of microplastics and nanoplastics in food, with particular focus on seafood. *EFSA Journal*, 14(6), e04501.
- [18] Elimelech, M., & Phillip, W. A. (2011). The Future of Seawater Desalination: Energy, Technology, and the Environment. *Science*, 333(6043), 712-717.
- [19] EPA, United States Environmental Protection Agency. (2020). *Microplastics: Analytical Methods and Occurrence in Drinking Water (Final Method 1633)*. EPA.
- [20] Gewert, B., Plassmann, M. M., & MacLeod, M. (2015). Pathways for degradation of plastic polymers floating in the marine environment. *Environmental science & technology*, 49(24), 15186-15198.
- [21] Ghernaout, D., & Elboughdiri, N. (2018). *Water Treatment Technologies: An Overview*. In *Water Treatment*. IntechOpen. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.70872>
- [22] Hartmann, N. B., Hüffer, T., Thompson, R. C., Hassellöv, M., Verschoor, A., & Daugaard, A. E. (2019). Are we speaking the same language? Recommendations for a definition and categorization framework for plastic debris. *Environmental science & technology*, 53(3), 1039-1047.
- [23] Koelmans, A. A., Bakir, A., Burton, G. A., & Janssen, C. R. (2016). Microplastic as a vector for chemicals in the aquatic environment: Critical review and model-supported reinterpretation of empirical studies. *Environmental science & technology*, 50(7), 3315-3326.
- [24] Koelmans, A. A., Besseling, E., & Shim, W. J. (2019). *Nanoplastics in the Aquatic Environment*. *Critical Reviews in Environmental Science and Technology*, 49(1), 32-58.
- [25] Kosuth, M., Mason, S. A., & Wattenberg, E. V. (2018). Anthropogenic contamination of tap water, beer, and sea salt. *PloS one*, 13(4), e0194970.
- [26] Lebreton, L., Slat, B., Ferrari, F., Sainte-Rose, B., Aitken, J., Marthouse, R., ... & Reisser, J. (2017).

Evidence that the Great Pacific Garbage Patch is rapidly accumulating plastic. *Scientific reports*, 7(1), 1-7.

[27] Liebezeit, G., & Liebezeit, E. (2014). Synthetic particles as contaminants in German beers. *Food additives & contaminants: Part A*, 31(9), 1574-1578.

[28] Mason, S. A., Welch, V. G., & Neratko, J. (2018). Synthetic polymer contamination in bottled water. *Frontiers in chemistry*, 6, 407.

[29] Mattsson, K., Johnson, E. V., Malmendal, A., Linse, S., Hansson, L. A., & Cedervall, T. (2015). Brain damage and behavioural disorders in fish induced by plastic nanoparticles delivered through the food chain. *Scientific reports*, 5(1), 1-9.

[30] Mattsson, K., Johnson, E. V., Malmendal, A., Linse, S., Hansson, L. A., & Cedervall, T. (2017). Altered behavior, physiology, and metabolism in fish exposed to polystyrene nanoparticles. *Environmental science & technology*, 51(1), 154-161.

[31] Napper, I. E., & Thompson, R. C. (2020). Release of synthetic microplastic plastic fibres from domestic washing machines: Effects of fabric type and washing conditions. *Marine pollution bulletin*, 158, 111375.

[32] Rist, S., Carney Almroth, B., & Hartmann, N. B. (2018). Microplastic—a macrochallenge for marine science. *Frontiers in Marine Science*, 5, 1-5.

[33] Rochman, C. M., Hoh, E., Kurobe, T., & Teh, S. J. (2013). Ingested plastic transfers hazardous chemicals to fish and induces hepatic stress. *Scientific reports*, 3(1), 1-7.

[34] Rößler, O., Foit, K., & Vollertsen, J. (2020). Microplastic abundance and distribution in freshwater sediments: Insights from the European microplastic database. *Water research*, 186, 116360.

[35] Schwabl, P., Köppel, S., Königshofer, P., Bucsics, T., Trauner, M., Reiberger, T., ... & Reiberger, T. (2019). Detection of various microplastics in human stool: a prospective case series. *Annals of internal medicine*, 171(7), 453-457.

[36] Schymanski, D., Goldbeck, C., Humpf, H. U., & Fürst, P. (2018). Analysis of microplastics in water by micro-Raman spectroscopy: Release of plastic particles from different packaging into mineral water. *Water research*, 129, 154-162.

[37] Snoeyink, V. L., & Jenkins, D. (2014). *Water Chemistry* (2nd ed.). John Wiley & Sons.

[38] Wagner, M., Scherer, C., Alvarez-Muñoz, D., Brennholt, N., Bourrain, X., Buchinger, S., ... & Reemtsma, T. (2014). Microplastics in freshwater ecosystems: what we know and what we need to know. *Environmental sciences Europe*, 26(1), 1-9.

[39] Wright, S. L., Kelly, F. J., & Drage, D. S. (2019). Plastic and human health: a micro issue? *Environmental science & technology*, 53(7), 3774-3775.

[40] Wright, S. L., Thompson, R. C., & Galloway, T. S. (2013). The physical impacts of microplastics on marine organisms: a review. *Environmental pollution*, 178, 483-492.

[41] Zhang, H., Zhang, L., & Zhou, Y. (2019). Atmospheric microplastics: A review on current status and perspectives. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 193, 1-10.

[42] Zhang, S., Yang, L., Cheng, W., & Peng, J. (2020). Microplastics in drinking water: A review and assessment. *Environmental Pollution*, 267, 115682.

[43] Zularisam, A. W., Ismail, A. F., Salim, R., & Jaafar, J. (2019). Recent Developments in Membrane Technology for Water Treatment. *Water*, 11(4), 773.